

# **Criminal Code (Criminal Organisations) Amendment Regulation (No. 1) 2015**

Explanatory notes for SL 2015 No. 147

made under the

*Criminal Code Act 1899*

## **General Outline**

### **Short title**

*Criminal Code (Criminal Organisations) Amendment Regulation (No. 1) 2015*

### **Authorising law**

Sections 60B and 708 of the Criminal Code

### **Policy objectives and the reasons for them**

Section 60B of the Criminal Code contains an offence which prohibits a participant in a criminal organisation from entering or attempting to enter a prescribed place, or attending or attempting to attend a prescribed event. The term 'prescribed place' is defined to mean a place declared by regulation.

Section 3 of the *Criminal Code (Criminal Organisations) Regulation 2013* contains a list of addresses that are declared to be 'prescribed places' for the purposes of section 60B of the Criminal Code.

The objective of the amendment regulation is to remove six addresses from the list of declared prescribed places that relate to the offence contained in section 60B of the Criminal Code. The amendments result from new police intelligence as to the movements of criminal organisations.

### **Achievement of policy objectives**

The policy objective is achieved by amending section 3 of the *Criminal Code (Criminal Organisations) Regulation 2013* which contains the list of prescribed places to remove the six addresses identified by police intelligence.

## **Consistency with policy objectives of authorising law**

The policy objective of section 60B of the Criminal Code is the provision of an offence that prohibits certain conduct by participants in a criminal organisation. The regulation is consistent with the policy objectives of this authorising law.

## **Inconsistency with policy objectives of other legislation**

The regulation is consistent with the policy objectives of other legislation.

## **Alternative ways of achieving policy objectives**

There are no alternative ways in which the objectives could be achieved.

## **Benefits and costs of implementation**

There are negligible costs associated with the implementation of the regulation.

## **Consistency with fundamental legislative principles**

The regulation is consistent with fundamental legislative principles.

## **Consultation**

The Queensland Productivity Commission (QPC) was consulted.

QPC advised that the amendment regulation was excluded from the Regulatory Impact Statement system.